

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/19/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DÖCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,538	09/08/2003	Richard B. Klein	LYNK.107725	990 0
75	590 03/19/2004		EXAM	INER
Devon A. Rol			NOVOSAD, JENN	IFER ELLAN ORE
One Kansas Cit	DY & BACON L.L.P. ry Place		ART UNIT	PARE NUMBER
1200 Main Stre			3634	
Kansas City, M	IO 64105-2118			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Expensions of time may be brained under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitely filled Expension of time may be brained under the provisions of 3° CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitely filled The period for reply specified above is less than theirly (30) days, a reply while the statulory minimum or bitiny (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than theirly (30) days, a reply while the statulory minimum or bitiny (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than theirly (30) days, a reply while the statulory minimum or bitiny (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than theirly (30) days and less one and their period to reply specified one and their period of the communication. False to the statulor of the statulor of their period of th		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Jennifer E. Novosad 3634	of the state of th	10/657,538	KLEIN ET AL.
Fire MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - ### varied for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estantance of term may be available under the provious of 3 of CR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a riply be firrely filled ### SEX (b) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. ### SEX (b) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. ### SEX (b) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. ### SEX (b) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication. ### SEX (b) MONTHS from the mating date of this communication of the communication. ### PROFESSION OF THE PROFESSI	/ Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Business of the property from the mailting date of this communication If the period for reply specified above, the mailting date of this communication If the period for reply specified above, the mailting date of this communication If the period for reply specified above, the mailting date of this communication If the period for reply is specified above, the mailting date of this communication If the period for reply is specified above, the mailting date of the communication, or this property of the communication If the period for reply is specified above, the mailting date of the communication, over if smelly filed, may reduce any search platent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b). - Status - If the period for reply is application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims - Alignification of Claims - Alignification of Claims - Alignification is a projected to the property of the period of the period of the above claim(s)			The state of the s
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MCNTNS from the mailing date of this communication. It has pectod reply specified below the less than think you are all to the provision of Claims 4) Claim(s) j is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	The MAILING DATE of this communication app reriod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> . 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>is/are allowed.</u> 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</u> Application Papers 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 30 Immortant Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S808)	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Faiture to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s)	Status		
2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4 Claim(s)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 Se	eptember 2003.	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)			
Application of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 8	Disposition of Claims		
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 8September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Inis National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application.		
6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 08 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 September 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.		
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 08 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	, —		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ②8 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 08 September 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Application Papers		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 08 September 2003 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 22 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 33 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	• •		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ea.
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		
	 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	

Art Unit: 3634

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

It is noted that this application appears to claim subject matter disclosed in prior Application No. 09/641,323, filed August 18, 2000. *However*, in line 3 of paragraph [0002] of the specification, Application No. 09/641,794, is listed. It appears that "09/761,794, filed August 19, 1999" should in fact be changed to --09/641,323, filed August 18, 2000--. *Also*, the current status of all nonprovisional parent applications referenced should be included. *Thus*, --now U.S. Patent No. 6,637,603,-- should be inserted before "entitled" in line 2 of paragraph [0002].

Abstract

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure. A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative. The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Art Unit: 3634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recitation "said tabs provide a barrier against lateral movement of shoes positioned on said shoe rack" (in the last two lines) renders the claim indefinite since applicants improperly seek to link positively claimed subject matter, i.e., the tabs, to functionally recited subject matter, i.e., the shoes, through the positive recitation "provide". *To correct this*, it is suggested that --are adapted to-- be inserted before "provide" (in the second to last line).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Design '225 (U.S. Design Patent No. Des. 381,225 to Malik).

Design '225 shows a shoe rack for hanging on an upright surface (see Figure 1) comprising first (vertically extending longitudinal element on the left side of Figure 1) and second (vertically extending longitudinal element on the right side of Figure 1) opposed side

Art Unit: 3634

frame members; each side frame member having a main body section and a plurality of support arms (see Figure 6) projecting outwardly therefrom; each support arm has opposite ends (one end is at the extreme left side of Figure 6 where the arm meets the vertical element and the other end is at the portion of the arm past the circular portion at the extreme right side of Figure 6) and at least one tab (see Figure 6, the tab is the upper half of the circular segment that meets the substantially horizontally extending support arm) extending upwardly therefrom at a location between the opposite ends whereby the tabs are adapted to provide a barrier against lateral movement of items, i.e., shoes positioned on the rack, i.e., since shoes would be placed on the bars between the side frame members, the first element of the shoe rack that the shoes would come in contact with when the shoes are moved laterally (left to right in Figure 1) would be the circular element (socket) and thus the tab which has been defined as the upper portion of the circular element and thereby the tab is considered to provide a barrier; and a plurality of shoe retaining bars (see Figure 1) extending between the side frame members.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Whitehead *et al.* '105 (U.S. Patent No. 5,871,105).

Whitehead *et al.* '105 disclose a rack for hanging on an upright surface comprising first (left side of Figure 1) and second (right side of Figure 1) opposed side frame members; each side frame member having a main body section (e.g., right side of Figure 3 which comprises the two

Art Unit: 3634

vertical segments with diagonal elements therebetween) and a plurality of support arms (i.e., a first arm includes the horizontal elements at the top of Figure 3, e.g., above 70 and to the right of element 104, and a second arm includes the horizontal elements in the middle of Figure 3, e.g., including 108 and the element to the left thereof) projecting outwardly from the main body; each support arm has opposite ends and at least one tab (i.e., the first arm includes a tab which is defined by the upper half of element 104 in Figure 3 or the vertical segment in the top middle portion of Figure, and the second arm includes a tab which is defined by the upper half of element 108 or the upper half of element 103 in Figure 3) extending upwardly therefrom at a location between the opposite ends whereby the tabs are adapted to provide a barrier against lateral movement of items, i.e., when items such as 200 are placed in the rack they are placed on the bars (24, 26, etc.) between the side frame members, one of the first elements of the rack that the item would come in contact with when the item is moved laterally (left to right in Figure 1) would be the circular elements and thus the tabs which have been defined as the upper portions of the circular elements and thereby the tab is considered to provide a barrier; and a plurality of shoe retaining bars (24, 26, 28, etc.) extending between the side frame members.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer E. Novosad whose telephone number is (703)-305-2872. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 5:30am-4:00pm.

Art Unit: 3634

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703)-308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jennifer E. Novosad Primary Examiner Art Unit 3634

Jennifer E. Novosad/jen March 12, 2004

Notice of References Cited

	Application/Control No. 10/657,538	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination KLEIN ET AL.		
ļ	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jennifer E. Novosad	3634	Page 1 of 2	

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-2,717,670	09-1955	ENGLAND ELWOOD G	190/16
	В	US-4,936,467	06-1990	Bobeczko, James D.	211/14
	С	US-2,223,884	12-1940	BOLAN WAYNE R	261/106
	D	US-D121,112	06-1940	Deja	D6/317
	Е	US-2,195,919	04-1940	CRILLY WILLIAM P; et. al.	211/35
	F	US-2,090,108	08-1937	CICERO WILLIAM A	211/35
	G	US-1,984,827	12-1934	HARRY DERMAN	312/4
	Ŧ	US-1,949,017	02-1934	LEO KNUPP	211/35
-	1	US-1,404,555	01-1922	SMITH WILLIAM H	211/35
	J	US-6,561,364	05-2003	Brunsden, Edwin B.	211/90.01
	К	US-4,233,911	11-1980	Vignale, Grace M.	108/30
	٦	US-D381,225	07-1997	Malik, Vijay S.	D6/513
	М	US-D287,550	01-1987	Tocci, Richard M.	D6/320

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	α	<i>i</i>				
	R					
	s ·					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	٧	
	w	
	х	

^{*}A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

Notice of References Cited

Application/Control No. 10/657,538	Applicant(s)/Patent Under Reexamination KLEIN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Jennifer E. Novosad	3634	Page 2 of 2	

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
	Α	US-D139,415	11-1944	Brown	D6/320
	В	US-1,769,344	07-1930	HOFFMIRE JOHN S	211/34
	С	US-1,733,487	10-1929	RICHMOND HACKLEY LAVANT	211/35
	D	US-1,450,948	04-1923	GLIDDEN HARVEY L	211/34
	Е	US-1,401,356	12-1921	PARCHERT FREDERICK L	211/36
	F	US-953,130	03-1910	Fellows	211/35
	G	US-5,871,105	02-1999	Whitehead et al.	211/14
	Н	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-			
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	Z					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	U	
	V	
	w	
	х	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

RECEIVED MAR B 0 7004 GROUP 3600